# SUPREME COURT MINUTES THURSDAY, JUNE 3, 1999 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S070347 DeBerard Properties, Ltd., Plaintiff and Respondent

v.

Bun Raymond Lim et al., Defendants and Appellants We affirm the Court of Appeal's judgment.

Mosk, J.

We Concur:

George, C.J.

Baxter, J.

Werdegar, J.

Chin, J.

Brown, J.

Concurring Opinion by Kennard, J.

S077706 People, Respondent

4th Dist. v.

D028550 Joe Willy Hill, Appellant

Div. 1 Respondent's petition for review GRANTED.

Appellant's petition for review GRANTED, limited to the issue of whether there was insufficient evidence to support the kidnapping conviction as to the infant victim.

George, C.J.

Mosk, J.

Kennard, J.

Baxter, J.

Werdegar, J.

Chin, J.

S077771 Amanda Lee, Respondent

6th Dist.

H018456 Technology Integration Group et al., Appellants

Petition for review GRANTED.

Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in Armendariz v. Foundation Health Psychcare Services, Inc., S075942 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 29.2(c)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule29.3, is deferred pending further order of the court.

> George, C.J. Mosk, J. Kennard, J. Baxter, J. Werdegar, J. Chin, J. Brown, J.

S077824 Diana Gonzalez, Respondent

2nd Dist.

B119278 Div. 6

Hughes Aircraft Employees Federal Credit Union et al., Appellants Petition for review GRANTED.

Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in Armendariz v. Foundation Health Psychcare Services, Inc., S075942 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 29.2(c)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule29.3, is deferred pending further order of the court.

George, C.J.

Mosk, J.

Kennard, J.

Baxter, J.

Werdegar, J.

Chin, J.

Brown, J.

S078119 Hector Rosales, Appellant

2nd Dist. v.

B122694 Deputy Ace Medical Company, Respondent

Div. 3 Respondent's petition for review GRANTED.

George, C.J. Kennard, J. Werdegar, J. Chin, J.

S070843 In re Monica Lorraine Allison

on

Habeas Corpus

Good cause having been shown, the Director of the Sacramento County Probation Office is ordered to show cause before the Third District Court of Appeal when the matter is placed on calendar why the trial court's instructions did not preclude petitioner's conviction based upon her exercise of her right of freedom of speech. The return shall be filed on or before July 6, 1999.

George, C.J. Mosk, J. Kennard, J. Baxter, J. Werdegar, J. Chin, J.

4th Dist. People, Respondent

G021452 v.

Div. 3 Ivan Antonio Manriquez, Appellant S077853 Petition for review GRANTED.

The cause is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three, with directions to vacate its decision in light of the circumstance that the Court of Appeal opinion in this matter, ordered published on March 19, 1999, relies upon a decision *People* v. *Moreno* (S075834), formerly published at 69 Cal. App. 4th 1198, in

which review was granted on March 9, 1999, and which is being held pending decision in *People* v. *Castenada* (S069237) and *People* v. *Robles* (S069306). In view of the curcumstance that *Moreno* is now superseded and may not be cited (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 977 & 976(d)), the Court of Appeal shall make appropriate changes to its opinion in this matter.

George, C.J. Kennard, J. Baxter, J. Werdegar, J. Chin, J.

S067030 California Teachers' Association et al., Respondents

3rd DST. v

C019678 State of California et al., Appellants

The requests for modification of the opinion are denied.

S070960 People, Respondent

v

Lesser Kelly, Appellant

The issue to be decided in this case shall be limited to the one raised in appellant's petition for review.

S057107 In re Albert Greened Brown

on

**Habits Corpus** 

The petition for habits corpus is denied. The Second, Third, Fourth, twenty-sixth, and Thirtieth Claims for Relief are barred because they were raised and rejected on appeal. (*In re Walter's* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225.)

The Fifth, Sixth, Eleventh, Thirteenth, Fourteenth, Sixteenth, Seventeenth, Nineteenth, Twenty-First, Twenty-Second, Twenty-Third, Twenty-Fourth, Twenty-Fifth, Twenty-Seventh, Twenty-Eighth, Thirty-Fifth, and Thirty-Sixth Claims for Relief are barred because they could and should have been, but were not, raised on

appeal. (In re Dixon (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759.)

To the extent based solely on the appellate record, the Ninth and Twelfth Claims for Relief are barred under *Dixon*.

To the extent the Fifteenth Claim for Relief argues that prejudice individually arose from (1) exclusion of expert testimony on eyewitness identification, (2) admission of testimony by a hypnotized witness, and (3) admission of unreliable serological evidence, it is barred under *Waltreus*. Otherwise, the claim is barred under *Dixon*.

To the extent the Eighteenth Claim for Relief asserts the prosecutor committed misconduct by arguing that (1) the absence of mitigation was aggravating and (2)"sympathy" for petitioner was irrelevant, it is barred under *Waltreus*. Otherwise, the claim is barred under *Dixon*.

Except as direct attacks on the validity of the 1978 death penalty law, the Twenty-Ninth and Thirty-Second Claims for Relief are barred under *Dixon*.

To the extent the Thirty-Third Claim for Relief asserts that reconsideration of the automatic motion for modification of verdict should not have been reheard by the same judge whose prior order denying the motion was reversed, it is barred under *Dixon*. Otherwise, the claim is barred under *Waltreus*.

The Fifth and Sixth Claims for Relief are also barred because they argue insufficiency of the evidence, an issue not cognizable on habeas corpus. (*In re Lindley* (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709, 723.)

The Forty-First and Forty-Second Claims for Relief are barred on grounds of petitioner's failure to object or otherwise raise the issues at trial. (*People* v. *Edwards* (1991) 54 Cal.3d 787, 827.)

All the claims in the petition are denied on the merits.

Justices Mosk and Brown would deny the petition solelyon the merits.

Justice Werdegar would deny Claims 41 and 42 solely on the merits.

#### S070227 In re Noel Jackson

on

Habeas Corpus

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

With exception of claims E, F, G, I, J, and L, all claims are denied as untimely (*In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770) and successive, i.e., they could have been, but were not, raised in the first petition for writ of habeas corpus (*In re Robbins, supra*, 18

Cal.4th at p. 788, fn. 9; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 768; *In re Horowitz* (1949) 33 Cal.2d 534, 546-547).

Claims A3, C4, and M are barred because they were raised and rejected in the first petition for writ of habeas corpus. (*In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734.)

The following claims are also denied on the grounds that they could have been, but were not, raised on appeal: B, D1, D2, and D3. (*In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756,759;*In re Harris* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 813, 825, fn. 3 and pp. 829-841.)

Claims E and F are denied as premature.

All claims except claims Eand F are also denied on the merits.

Justices Mosk and Brown would deny the petition, except claims E and F, solely on the merits.

S077908 In re Richard Leonard Jelks

on

Habeas Corpus

Petition for writ of habeas corpus DENIED.

1st Dist. A078114 Div. 3 S078522	People, Respondent v. Glenn Alan Dunn, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
1st Dist. A078423	In re the Marriage of Robert E. Krempin and Patricia A.Krempin
Div. 4 S078515	Robert E. Krempin, Respondent v.
	Patricia A. Krempin, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
1st Dist. A079137	In re Lacedric William Johnson on Habeas Corpus
A082014	People, Respondent
Div. 3	v.
S077790	Lacedric William Johnson, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.

1st Dist. Stream Analytics et al., Appellants

A079424 v

Div. 1 Terry Lillie et al., Respondents S078512 Petition for review DENIED.

1st Dist. A080064 A081852 Div. 1 S078532	Timothy J. Linder, Respondent v.  Doctors' Management Company et al., Appellants Petition for review DENIED.
1st Dist. A081199	In re Antoine M., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law
Div. 3 S078432	People, Respondent v.
5070432	Antoine M., Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
1st Dist. A081284	People, Respondent v.
Div. 4 S078386	Jerry Joseph Edmunds, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
1st Dist. A081652	People, Respondent v.
Div. 4 S078385	Jerry Joseph Edmunds, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
1st Dist. A081986	People, Respondent v.
Div. 2 S078388	Henry Pic Charles, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
1st Dist. A082211	John D. Freeman et al., Appellants
Div. 1 S078398	Sandra Sassen, Respondent Appellants' petition for review DENIED.
1st Dist. A082226	People, Respondent v.
Div. 5 S078484	Michael D. Holloway, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
1st Dist. A083012	In re Carley M., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law
Div. 2 S078581	Marin County Dept. of Health and Human Services, Respondent v.
5070501	Tammy M., Appellant And Companion Case

# Petition for review DENIED.

1st Dist. A083093 Div. 1 S078401	People, Respondent v. Tommy Escarcega, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
1st Dist. A083508 Div. 2 S077840	City of Pinole, Petitioner v. Workers' Compensation Appeals Board et al., Respondents Petition for review DENIED.
1st Dist. A084641 Div. 5 S078409	Joe L. Wilkes, Petitioner v. Workers' Compensation Appeals Board et al., Respondents Petition for review DENIED.
1st Dist. A086808 Div. 5 S079167	Shair R. Ali, Petitioner v. Contra Costa County Superior Court, Respondent People, Real Party in Interest Application for stay and petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B111106 Div. 6 S078486	People, Respondent v. Terrance Keith Amos, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.

2nd Dist. B114273 B117139 Div. 7 S077833	People, Appellant v. Alejandro Silva, Respondent Appellant's petition for review DENIED. Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B115102 Div. 6 S078556	Evan Chandler, Appellant v. American Broadcasting Companies et al., Respondents Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B115365 Div. 4 S077910	People, Respondent v.  Jerriel M. Wilborn, Appellant Respondent's petition for reviewDENIED. The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion is DENIED.
2nd Dist. B115784 Div. 5 S078393	Donald F. Hogans, Appellant v. United Franchise Owners et al., Appellants Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B115919 Div. 4 S078245	Valanteen Berberian, Respondent v. Alexandria Convalescent Hospital, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B115960 Div. 5 S078476	People, Respondent v. Louis Peterson, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B116266 B122485 Div. 1 S077906	In re Richard Leonard Jelks on Habeas Corpus

2nd Dist. People, Respondent B116771 Div. 4 Napoleon Brown, Appellant S077892 Appellant's petition for review DENIED. 2nd Dist. Jesus Cortez, Appellant B116861 v. Div. 5 Yamaha Motor Corp., Respondent S077508 Appellant's petition for review DENIED. 2nd Dist. People, Respondent B117354 Claude R. Smith, Appellant Div. 3 S078392 Appellant's petition for review DENIED. 2nd Dist. People, Respondent B117722 v. Div. 6 Brian Matthew Sutherland, Appellant S078511 Appellant's petition for review DENIED. 2nd Dist. People, Respondent B118099 Div. 4 Ollie Miller et al., Appellants S077813 Appellants' petitions for review DENIED. Mosk, J., is of the opinion review should be granted. 2nd Dist. People, Respondent B119005 v. Div. 4 Jose Guadalupe Ruiz-Mor, Appellant S077777 Appellant's petition for review DENIED. 2nd Dist. People, Respondent B119195 Div. 7 Victor Tapia Vargas, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED. S078504 2nd Dist. Richard Neely, Appellant B119526 Div. 5 Williams-Sonoma Incorporated, Respondent S078417 Petition for review DENIED.

2nd Dist. B119661	People, Respondent v.
Div. 3 S078449	David Denetrix Bowers, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B120180	People, Respondent v.
Div. 1 S078389	Clarence Barnes, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B120575	Virginia E. Hyland, Appellant v.
S078408	Hughes Aircraft Company et al., Respondents Respondents' petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B120595	People, Respondent v.
Div. 1 S078540	Juan Carlos Ramirez, Appellant Respondent's petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B120693	People, Respondent v.
Div. 5 S078390	Carlos A. Morales, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B120948	People, Respondent v.
Div. 2 S078054	Michael V. Barrera, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B120968	People, Respondent v.
Div. 3 S078541	Mark Donovan, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B121001	People, Respondent v.
Div. 6 S078516	Eric Panizzon, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.

2nd Dist. B121615 Div. 6 S078529	People, Respondent v. Emilio Garcia Orozco, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B121691 Div. 1 S078076	In re Justin C., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law Los Angeles County Dept of Children Services, Respondent v. Thomas C., Appellant Respondent's petition for review DENIED. The Reporter of Decisions is directed not to publish in the Official Appellate Reports the opinion in the above-entitled appeal, filed March 3, 1999, which appears at 70 Cal.App.4th 1516. (Cal. Const., art. VI, section 14; rule 976, Cal. Rules of Court.)
2nd Dist. B121759 Div. 2 S078255	Wayman G. Washington, Appellant v. Regents of the University of California et al., Respondents Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B122014 Div. 4 S078645	People, Respondent v. Craig K. Garrett, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B122483 Div. 7 S077920	Pacific Indemnity Company, Appellant v. American Motorists Insurance Company, Respondent Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B122675 Div. 6 S078550	Kolbe Foods Incorporated, Respondent v. Nader Mirzai, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B123912 Div. 6 S077843	Paul Worthington, Appellant v. California Capital Insurance Company, Respondent Respondent's petition for review DENIED.

2nd Dist. B130753 Div. 3 S078359	In re Daniel John Morales on Habeas Corpus Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B130889 Div. 5 S078531	David Arias, Petitioner v. Los Angeles County Superior Court, Respondent S.M. Morgan Incorporated, Real Party in Interest Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B132091 Div. 4 S079336	Hiram Ash, Petitioner v. Los Angeles County Superior Court, Respondent Hoag Company et al., Real Parties in Interest Application for stay and petition for review DENIED.
3rd Dist. C019678 S067030	California Teachers' Association et al., Respondents v. State of California et al., Appellants The requests for modification of the opinion are denied.
3rd Dist. C024354 S077919	People, Respondent v. Kelly Harold Wilson, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED. Mosk, J., is of the opinion the petition should be granted.
3rd Dist. C029611 S078433	People, Respondent v. Gregory Leonard Saputo, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
3rd Dist. C029653 S078502	People, Respondent v.  John Ted Lamb, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.

3rd Dist. C028761 S078431	In re Kenya F., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law
	People, Respondent
	v. Kenya F., Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
3rd Dist. C032573	Gurmeet Singh Thind, Appellant
S078948	v. Alcoholic Beverage Control Appeals Board, Respondent Application for stay and petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. D027604	People, Respondent
D027004 Div. 1	v. Jerry P. Jennings, Appellant
S077698	Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist.	A. Edward Brady et al., Appellants
D027678 Div. 1 S078568	v. General Dynamics Corporation et al., Respondents Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. D027779	Antoinette Greco et al., Appellants
Div. 1	Connie M. Greco, Appellant
S078416	Petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist.	People, Respondent
D028174 Div. 1	v. Joseph Wilbur Craver, Appellant
S077923	Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist.	People, Respondent
D028430 Div. 1	v. Jesse Edward Rivas, Appellant
S077776	Appellant's petition for review DENIED.

4th Dist. People, Respondent D028562 Div. 1 John F. McFarland, Appellant S078513 Appellant's petition for review DENIED. 4th Dist. People, Respondent D028953 v. Div. 1 Darrel Lee Smith, Appellant S078524 Appellant's petition for review DENIED. 4th Dist. People, Respondent D029169 Div. 1 Jesus Juarez, Appellant S078506 Appellant's petition for review DENIED. 4th Dist. Mitchell Becker et al., Appellants D029783 v. Div. 1 Allstate Insurance Company, Respondent S078370 Appellants' petition for review DENIED. 4th Dist. People, Respondent D029992 Div. 1 David Shane Ross, Appellant S078507 Appellant's petition for review DENIED. 4th Dist. People, Respondent D032042 v. Div. 1 Constantino Miguel Aguilar, Appellant S078382 Appellant's petition for review DENIED. 4th Dist. Pacific Indemnity Company, Petitioner D032796 Div. 1 San Diego County Superior Court, Respondent San Diego Unified Port District, Real Party in Interest S078428 Petition for review DENIED. 4th Dist. In re Adrin J. Austin D032897 on Div. 1 Habeas Corpus Petition for review DENIED. S078479

4th Dist. In re Roy Paul Valdez

D032946 or

Div. 1 Habeas Corpus

S078481 Petition for review DENIED.

4th Dist. Monaco Coach Corporation, Petitioner

D032964 v.

Div. 1 San Diego County Superior Court, Respondent

S078334 Jorgia Brown, Real Party in Interest Petition for review DENIED.

4th Dist. In re Larry D. Rodriguez

D032965 on

Div. 1 Habeas Corpus

S078521 Petition for review DENIED.

4th Dist. In re Francisco Ramos

D033101 on

Div. 1 Habeas Corpus

S078478 Petition for review DENIED.

4th Dist. City of Palm Springs, Respondent

E018472 v.

Div. 2 Living Desert Reserve, Appellant

S078021 Respondent's petition for review DENIED.

4th Dist. People, Respondent

E020679 v.

Div. 2 Robert Dale Thurmond, Appellant

S077666 Appellant's petition for review DENIED.

4th Dist. People, Respondent

E021749 v.

S077890 James David Upton, Appellant

Appellant's petition for review DENIED.

Mosk, J., is of the opinion the petition should be granted.

4th Dist. E022385 Div. 2 S078549	People, Respondent v. Everett F. Reed, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. E024590 Div. 2 S078587	Octavious Marshall Glenn, Petitioner v. San Bernardino County Superior Court, Respondent People, Real Party in Interest Petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. E024614 Div. 2 S078059	Mary Avila et al., Petitioners v. Riverside County Superior Court, Respondent Carl Axup et al., Real Parties in Interest Petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. G021486 Div. 3 S077395	People, Respondent v. Hilario Norberto Torres, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. G021687 Div. 3 S078423	People, Respondent v. Earl J. Robbins, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. G022094 Div. 3 S078442	People, Respondent v. Albert Garcia Guerrero, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. G022286 G022287 G022288 Div. 3 S078185	People, Respondent v. Ambrose Richard Gill, Appellant And Companion Case Appellant's petition for review DENIED. Mosk, J., is of the opinion the petition should be granted.

4th Dist. G022355 Div. 3 S078489	People, Respondent v. Jose I. Robles, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. G022389 Div. 3 S077773	People, Respondent v. Francisco Pina, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. G022726 Div. 3 S078236	People, Respondent v. Dennis Lydell Ephform, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. G023228	In re Cierra S., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law
Div. 3 S078510	Orange County SocialServices Agency et al., Respondents v. Thomas L., Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. G023290	Mary Ellen Torquato, Petitioner v.
Div. 3 S071326	Workers' Compensation Appeals Board, Respondent Insurance Company of the West, Respondent Petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. G024893	Ronald Scott Heiberg, Petitioner v.
Div. 3 S077760	Orange County Superior Court, Respondent People, Real Party in Interest Petition for review DENIED. (See Penal Code section 1538.5, subd.(m) and <i>People</i> v. <i>Medina</i> (1972) 6 Cal.3d 484, 491-492.) Mosk, J., is of the opinion the petition should be granted.
4th Dist. G025099	Lucky Stores Inc., Petitioner v.
Div. 3 S078487	Alcoholic Beverage Control Appeals Board, Respondent Petition for review DENIED.

5th Dist. F026728 F028939 S077930	People, Respondent v. Javier Trujillo Perez, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
5th Dist. F027432 S077806	People, Respondent v. Candido Rodriguez Loera et al., Appellants Appellants' petitions for review DENIED. Mosk, J., and Kennard, J., are of the opinion the petition of appellant Peter Paul Cordova should be granted. Brown, J., is of the opinion review should be g ranted.
5th Dist. F028756 F029819 S077700	In re Samuel Kurt Smith on Habeas Corpus  People, Respondent  v.  Samuel Kurt Smith, Appellant  Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
5th Dist. F029173 S078191	Nulaid Foods et al., Respondents v. A E Staley Manufacturing Company, Appellat Petition for review DENIED. The request for an order directing publication of the opinion is DENIED.
5th Dist. F029751 S078461	People, Respondent v.  Jose Trinidad Flores, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
5th Dist. F030170 S078538	People, Respondent v. Eric Quigley, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.

5th Dist. F030313 S078485	People, Respondent v. Manuel Isauro Morado Jr., Appellant
5070403	Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
5th Dist. F030501	People, Respondent v.
S078462	Diana Fay Robertson, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
5th Dist. F030710	People, Respondent v.
S078437	Adelaido Pinedo, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
5th Dist. F030771	Albert Perez, Petitioner v.
S078491	Workers' Compensation Appeals Board et al., Respondents Petition for review DENIED.
5th Dist. F032978	Regina Denise Eason, Petitioner v.
S078555	Kern County Superior Court, Respondent People, Real Party in Interest Application for stay and patition for review DENIED.
6th Dist.	People, Respondent
H017752 S078477	v. Angel Oliveras, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
6th Dist. H017983	People, Respondent v.
S077677	Fred Alton Brixey, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.
6th Dist. H018094	People, Respondent v.
S078395	James Edward King, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED.

6th Dist. People, Petitioner H018530 S077827 Santa Clara County Superior Court, Respondent Jerry Howard, Real Party in Interest Real Party in Interest's petition for review DENIED. 6th Dist. People, Respondent H019439 S077822 Fabian Garcia, Appellant Appellant's petition for review DENIED. 6th Dist. Edwin Ray Baugh, Petitioner H019986 V. S079237 Santa Cruz County Superior Court, Respondent People, Real Party in Interest Petition for review DENIED. BV22029 Herbert Balter, Appellant S077210 Pierre Khoury, Respondent The request for an order directing publication of the opinion in the above entitled cause is DENIED. BV21990 S.M. Morgan Incorporated, Respondent S078098 v. David Arias, Appellant The request for an order directing publication of the opinion in the above entitled cause is DENIED. 2nd Dist. Thomas Bergantino, Appellant B117055 v. Div. 2 Fire Insurance Exchange et al., Respondents S077869 The request for an order directing publication of the opinion in the above entitled cause is DENIED. 4th Dist. Steve Benyo, Appellant E022175

Allstate Insurance Company et al., Respondents

opinion in the above entitled cause is DENIED.

The request for an order directing partial publication of the

Div. 2

S077753

#### S039894 People, Respondent

V.

Christopher James Sattiewhite, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the appellant is granted to and including August 2, 1999, to request correction of the record on appeal. Counsel for appellant is ordered to notify the Clerk of the Supreme Court in writing as soon as the act as to which the Court has granted an extension of time has been completed.

# S040575 People, Respondent

v.

Delaney Geral Marks, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the appellant is granted to and including June 16, 1999, to request correction of the record on appeal. Counsel for appellant is ordered to notify the Clerk of the Supreme Court in writing as soon as the act as to which the Court has granted an extension of time has been completed.

### S055652 People, Respondent

v

Freddie Fuiava, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the appellant is granted to and including June 15, 1999, to request correction of the record on appeal. Counsel for appellant is ordered to notify the Clerk of the Supreme Court in writing as soon as the act as to which the Court has granted an extension of time has been completed.

No further extensions of time are contemplated.

# S067443 People, Appellant

V.

Raymond Lawrence Frazer, Respondent

The request for extension of time to file supplemental brief, filed by the Attorney General on June 3, 1999, is denied. S075942 Marybeth Armendariz et al., Respondents

V.

Foundation Health Psychcare Services, Incorporated, Appellant On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's brief on the merits is extended to and including July 9, 1999.

S077380 In re Gary Dale Hines

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file petitioner's reply to informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including July 23, 1999.

S004486 People, Respondent

Crim.

v.

22955

Steven Allen Champion and Craig Anthony Ross, Appellants
Good cause appearing, the application of lead appointed counsel
Nicholas C. Arguimbau for the appointment of associate appointed
counsel, filed February 11, 1999, as amended and filed May 13,
1999, is granted.

Steven E. Feldman is hereby appointed as associate counsel of record to represent death row inmate Craig Anthony Ross. Counsel is appointed for purposes of all post-conviction proceedings in this court, and for subsequent proceedings, including the preparation and filing of a petition for clemency with the Governor of California, as appropriate.

S074515 In re Darrell Lee Lomax

on

Habeas Corpus

Darrell Lee Lomax's habeas corpus petition requesting *immediate* appointment of appellate counsel, filed by this on November 4, 1998, is denied. Counsel will be appointed in due course. (*People* v. *Holt* (1997) 15 Cal.4th 619, 708-709, and *People* v. *Horto*n (1995) 11 Cal.4th 1068, 1141.)

S045982 People, Respondent

V.

Johnny Avila, Jr., Appellant

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Gary B. Wells is hereby appointed to represent appellant for habeas corpus/executive clemency proceedings related to the above automatic appeal now pending in this court.

S057321 People, Respondent

v.

Darrell Lee Lomax, Appellant

Appellant's petition for *immediate* appointment of appellate counsel, filed by this court on August 21, 1998, is denied. Counsel will be appointed in due course. (*People v. Holt* (1997) 15 Cal.4th 619, 708-709, and *People v. Horto*n (1995) 11 Cal.4th 1068, 1141.)

S075725 People, Respondent

v.

Kiongozi Jones, Appellant

Kiongozi Jones's petition requesting immedite appointment of appellate counsel, filed on April 27, 1999, is denied. Counsel will be appointed in due course. (*People* v. *Holt* (1997) 15 Cal.4th 619, 708-709, and *People* v. *Horto*n (1995) 11 Cal.4th 1068, 1141.)

S010723 People, Respondent

v.

Noel Jackson, Appellant

Petitioner's "Confidential Application for Authorization to Incur Expenses to Investigate and Present Habeas Corpus Claims," filed on May 21, 1999, is denied.

Bar Misc. 4186 In the Matter of the Application of the Committee of Bar Examiners of the State of California for Admission of Attorneys

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED TO ORIGINAL ORDER)

# S077568 In re **Mary Frances Richardsom** Discipline

It is ordered that **Mary Frances Richardsorb**e suspended from the practice of law for two years and until she has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of her rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. She is further ordered to comply with rule955, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code Code section 6086.10 and shall be payable in accordance with Bus. & Prof. Code section 6140.7 (as amended effective January 1, 1997).

\*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

### S077569 In re **Mark Morris**on Discipline

It is ordered that **Mark Morris**be suspended from the practice of law for four years and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct and until he makes full restitution pursuant to the payment plan set forth at pages 23 through 27 of the stipulation filed August 21, 1998, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for five years and until he completes the aforementioned restitution on condition that he be actually suspended for thirty months and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. He is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order dated August 19, 1998, approving the stipulation filed August 21, 1998, including make full restitution pursuant to the payment plan set forth at pages 23 through 27 of the stipulation and furnishing satisfactory proof of such payment to the Probation Unit, State Bar Office of the Chief Trial Counsel. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners during the period of his

actual suspension. (See *Segretti* v. *State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is also ordered that he comply with rule955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and shall be paid as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court pursuant to its order dated August 19, 1998.

\*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

# S077570 In re **Alan Perry Thomas**on Discipline

It is ordered that **Alan Perry Thomas**be suspended from the practice of law for five years, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for five years on condition that he be actually suspended for four years. He is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order regarding stipulation filed September 18, 1998. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his actual suspension. (SeeSegretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn.8.) Credit toward the period of adual suspension shall be given for the period of interim suspension which commenced on April 25, 1997. If the period of actual suspension is two years or greater, he shall remain suspended until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 6086.10 and shall be payable in accordance with Bus. & Prof. Code section 6140.7.

# S077571 In re **James Christopher Woodward**n Discipline

It is ordered that **James Christopher Woodward**e suspended from the practice of law for five years, and until he makes restitution to Gary and Karen Schwager (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate) in the amount of \$50,000, plus interest at a rate of 8% per annum from April 7, 1997 and furnishes satisfactory proof thereof to the Probation Unit of the State Bar, and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness

to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for five years on condition that he be actually suspended for three years and until he makes restitution to Gary and Karen Schwager (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate), and until he complies with standard 1.4(c)(ii). He is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation, including restitution, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order regarding stipulation filed October 8, 1998. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his actual suspension. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) He is further ordered to comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 6086.10 and shall be payable in accordance with Bus. & Prof. Code section 6140.7. as amended effective January 1, 1997.

\*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

# S077572 In re **Myron Roy Siegel**on Discipline

It is ordered that Myron Roy Siegelbe suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years on condition that he be actually suspended for 120 days and until he makes restitution to Myung Sook Kim (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate) of \$620 plus 10% interest per annum from January 29, 1996. He is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order regarding stipulation filed October 19, 1998. If the period of actual suspension is two years or greater, he shall remain suspended until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his actual suspension, or within

one year, whichever period is longer. (SeeSegretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn.8.) He is further ordered to comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 6086.10 and shall be payable in accordance with Bus. & Prof. Code section 6140.7.

\*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

# S077573 In re **Mitchell K. Jayson**on Discipline

It is ordered that **Mitchell K. Jayson**be suspended from the practice of law for three years, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years on condition that he be actually suspended for one year and until he makes restitution to Robert L. Dreyfuss (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate) in the amount of \$3,000 plus 10% interest per annum from March 8, 1989. He is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed October 16, 1998. If the period of actual suspension is two years or greater, he shall remain suspended until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his actual suspension or within one year after of the effective date of this order, whichever is longer. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn.8.) He is further ordered to comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 6086.10 and shall be payable in accordance with section 6140.7.

\*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

### S077574 In re **Thomas Edward White**on Discipline

It is ordered that Thomas Edward White suspended from the practice of law for four years, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for four years on condition that he be actually suspended for two years and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. He is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed August 26, 1998. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his actual suspension. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is also ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7.

\*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

# S077629 In re **Michael H. Inman**on Discipline

It is ordered that **Michael H. Inman**be suspended from the practice of law for one years, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for one year on condition that he be actually suspended for 30 days. He is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation, including restitution, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed November 12, 1998. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (SeeSegretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn.8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Bus. & Prof. Code section 6140.7 as amended effective January 1, 1997.

### S077631 In re **Donald James Sanders**on Discipline

It is ordered that **Donald James Sanders** be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation, including actual suspension for 30 days, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order dated October 14, 1998, approving the stipulation filed October 23, 1998. Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are payable in accordance with section 6140.7.

### S077632 In re **Ian Lane Kerner**on Discipline

It is ordered that **Ian Lane Kerner**be suspended from the practice of law for three years, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years subject to the conditions of probation, including six months actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed November 9, 1998. If the period of actual suspension is two years or greater, he shall remain suspended until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order or during the period of his actual suspension, whichever is greater. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn.8.) It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Bus. & Prof. Code section 6140.7 as amended effective January 1, 1997.

\*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

#### S077633 In re **Lee Sherman Meyer**on Discipline

It is ordered that **Lee Sherman Meyerb**e suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years on condition that he be actually suspended for 60 days and until he makes restitution to Van Lewis in the amount of \$1,200, plus 10% interest per annum from September 1, 1997 and furnishes satisfactory proof thereof to the Probation Unit, State Bar Office of Trials. He is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed September 1, 1998. If the period of actual suspension is two years or greater, he shall remain suspended until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order or during the period of his actual suspension, whichever is longer. (SeeSegretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn.8.) If the period of actual suspension is 90 days or greater, he is further ordered to comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7.

\*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

### S077634 In re **Andre F. Zeehandelaar**on Discipline

It is ordered that **Andre F. Zeehandelaar**be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. He is also ordered to comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 6086.10.

\*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)